1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	x
4	INTERWORKS UNLIMITED, INC., a
5	California Corporation,
6	Plaintiff,
7	-against-
8	DIGITAL GADGETS, LLC; a New Jersey
9	limited liability company,
10	Defendant.
11	Case No: 2:17-cv-4983 AB KSx
12	x
13	488 Madison Avenue
14	New York, New York
15	
16	August 21, 2018
17	10:01 a.m.
18	
19	Examination Before Trial of the
20	Defendant by CHARLES TEBELE, pursuant to
21	Notice, before CINDY A. AFANADOR, a Notary
22	Public of the State of New York.
23	
24	EXHIBIT
25	<b>18</b>

III	terworks Unlimited, Inc. vs. Digital Gadgets, LL#.	311	Deposition of Charles Tebele
	in the interviews?	1	hoverboards from
2		2	A. Are you stating that as a fact or
3		3	are you asking me what's the question?
4		4	Q. Do you remember at the time you
5		5	first met Eric Lu your company had already
1		6	made purchases from his company?
-		7	
8	Q. Okay.	8	A. Do I remember if my company
2	when did you mist hear of this	9	already made purchases?
	company.	10	Q. Yes.
10	A. I don't i cean.		A. Again, just like I said before,
11	Q. Have you ever met an marvidual	11	I'm not clear on the timeline, but we
12	named Ene Eu.	12	purchased purchases from him and I met him.
13	A. ICS.	13	I'm not recalling the sequence of events.
14	Q. Bo you recan when you met min:	14	Q. So you don't remember when your
15	A. I believe it would be in	15	company first purchased products from his
16	January 2017.	16	company?
17	Q. Did you meet him in New York?	17	A. In relation to when I met him,
18	A. I don't believe so.	18	I'm not sure.
19	Q. Do you recall where you met him	19	Q. Okay.
20	first?	20	Do you know what was the
21	A. I recall that I met him in	21	merchandise your company purchased from his
22	Las Vegas. I don't know if there were other	22	company?
23		23	A. Hoverboards.
24		24	Q. Before you came today, did you
25		25	review any documents, intra-company, relating
	Page 14		Page 16
		1	to the purchase of these hoverboards?
2		2	A. I reviewed certain documents.
3		3	Q. And what were these documents
4		4	that you had reviewed?
[		5	
6	A. Consumer Electronics. I don't	6	A. There were a production of
	Know what the S is ioi. Show.	7	documents, they were voluminous, I reviewed
8	Q. That's a good guess.	8	them to refresh my memory, but I don't know
	when you mist met Ene Eu m		which documents I can't recall which
1 2	Eas vegas for this trade show, what are you	9	documents or why. There were a lot of
10	Suj 5 talli 4004t.	10	documents.
11	71. (10 response.)	11	Q. Did you review your company's
12	Q. Do you remember anything that you	12	purchase orders?
13	diseassed with Effe Ed when you hist met inn.	13	A. Not particularly. I may have
14	A. 110.	14	scanned them. I'm sure I scanned them.
15	Q. Did he ever offer any of his	15	Q. Did you review the invoices
16	merchandise to your company as a vendor.	16	issued by Interworks to your company?
17	A. I believe when I met him we were	17	A. What do you mean by "review"?
18	already involved in some sort of business, but	18	Q. Review, meaning look at the
19	I don't know the sequence. I don't recall	19	document and have for a certain purpose,
20	offhand the sequence of events.	20	and when you finished reading it, well, I
21		21	can't give you a definition of review. Review
22		22	means review.
23		23	A. Then I can't answer it.
24		24	Q. Well, have you had a chance to
25		25	look at those documents?
	Tomponi, mas antena, masse paremase or		15511 517 511000 400 611101160

11	nerworks Unlimited, Inc. vs. Digital Gaugets, LL#	<u> </u>	Deposition of Charles Tebele
	A. Me personally?	1	knowledge of any returns of hoverboards made
	Q. Yes.	2	by Digital Gadgets to Interworks?
	A. No. Doesn't preclude me from	3	A. Personal knowledge that I'm
	<sup>4</sup> reviewing any.	4	carrying in my head, no. There may be
	Q. If you want to, you could?	5	documents to support in whatever we would
	A. Yes.	6	submit.
	MR. HSU: Let me attach these two	7	Q. Okay.
	documents; why don't we mark them as	8	A. Whether there were or weren't
1	Lamoit 1 and 2.	10	Q. That's fair.
1	(Tebele Exhibit 1, Second 7 intended	11	Have you ever seen any documents
1:	Trouble of Taking Deposition of Charite	12	in the possession of your company that had
1	receie, marked for identification.)	13	anything to do with the return that was referenced in number 14 here?
1.	(Teoche Exmort 2, Second 7 michaed	14	
1	reduce of raking Deposition of	15	A. I don't recall any specific documents.
1	Berendani, Counterclamant Bigitar	16	Q. Okay.
1		17	A. That doesn't mean there aren't
1	Tuestitification.)	18	documents, that doesn't mean I didn't see
1		19	them, but I don't know of any specific
2		20	documents.
2	taking deposition of defendant, 2 ignar	21	Q. You might have seen them, but you
2		22	don't remember at that point?
2	1	23	A. Correct.
2.		24	MR. LAZARUS: Give me one second
2.		25	with Charlie.
	Page 34		Page 36
	A. (No response.)	1	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
	MR. LAZARUS: Yes.	2	(Recess taken.)
	MR. HSU: Okay.	3	A. So just to clarify, any returns,
-	Q. Now, fairly quickly, if you look	4	there would be paperwork if it was requested,
	at the Exhibit 2, the second amended notice of	5	that would substantiate whether there were
	taking deposition of defendant, if you can	6	terms or not in the submissions.
	help me go to the second page.	7	Q. My previous question to you is,
	A. (Witness complying.)	8	do you recall seeing any of those documents?
	Q. Look at the third page.	9	A. In the ordinary course of
1	74. (Witness complying.)	10	business we returned product, so I don't
1	Q. This you can see these categories	11	recall specific documents, but, like I said,
1:	semig desertions on top of the pages number to	12	if there were documents and reports showed to
1.	an the way through number 21, fight.	14	me, I can identify whether they were returns or not based on those documents.
1	71. 1 65.	15	
1		16	Q. Sitting there, you don't have any specific recollections on any specific
1		17	documents?
1	The fourth asking me if I saw this	18	A. Correct. However, you know,
1	· ·	19	there are registers and documents and backup
2	Q. Tes.	20	to what was returned and wasn't returned.
2		21	Q. Let's move on to the next one.
2		22	This will be you guys can share.
2		23	It is a letter dated May 19,
2.		24	2017.
2.	1.5	25	MR. HSU: This will be Number 3.
1	D . 25	1	D 45

Page 35

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 39

(Tebele Exhibit 3. Document bearing Bates stamp Interworks 7, marked for identification.)

This letter apparently was written by Thomas Carulli, supposedly a lawyer working for this firm. I'm looking at the letterhead; Kaplan, Massamillo & Andrews. Have you ever seen this document?

Α.

2

3

4

5

9

12

13

14

15

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 Did you hire this law firm to Q. 11 write this letter to Interworks?
  - Yes.

Q. And let's look at the first paragraph.

Says "Dear Mr. Lu, we represent 16 Digital Gadgets, LLC. This is to place you on 17 notice that Interworks has violated the exclusive right granted DG," that's Digital Gadgets, "to sell Interworks products to and 19 through QVC, moreover, at a price less than offered by Digital Gadgets, resulting in 22 significant and irreparable harm to Digital Gadgets."

- A. Yes, it was terrible.
- There are two issues raised by O.

Page 38

multiple occasions, I spoke to QVC. I mean this was a heavy issue, this wasn't a light issue and we would never, as our company policy, sell something that someone else makes, to then have that company go and compete with us on the same thing.

Well, that's fair.

So Chris Mitchell reported this to you; what did he tell you, if anything, that you recall pertaining to this exclusive right?

Look, I had many conversations Α. with Chris Mitchell and with Eric, but what I will say is Interworks was in a jam, we helped them, and explicit in the help was this exclusive, which was being honored for a time, but then at some point, Interworks decided to go rogue and go behind our backs, so it was not just implied, it was the tone of the entire relationship. And, moreover, we were discussing further exclusive and further accounts, so it wasn't that it was this one little thing, it was beyond that.

At some point, Interworks just decided that they were gonna not honor it.

Page 40

this paragraph. One, the first one was the exclusive right. You don't recall seeing any written documents or written agreements signed by Interworks and Digital Gadgets pertaining to this exclusive right?

I don't know about a written agreement, however there is a certain agreement without question between Interworks and Digital Gadgets that when Digital Gadgets was selling those goods to QVC, which Interworks was stuck with and QVC canceled their orders with, that Digital Gadgets would fill Interworks' shoes as the exclusive partner, there's no doubt about that.

When you say "there's no doubt about that," you know, what proof do you recall?

> MR. LAZARUS: Objection to the form of the question.

Q. Let me ask you this: When you say there's no doubt about this exclusive right, have you ever seen any e-mails sent by Eric Lu?

A. I've seen e-mails, I was on conversations, I spoke to Chris Mitchell on Q. Okay.

To your knowledge, is --

- Which caused us a tremendous amount of lost work and time and reputation.
- Do you know if Interworks is currently selling hoverboards to QVC?
  - I don't understand the question. Α.
- To your personal knowledge, is Q. Interworks selling hoverboards to QVC now?
- At this moment, I don't know. Α. Are they in business?
  - Which party is in business? Q.
  - Is Interworks still in business?
  - That's why I'm here. Q.
  - I don't understand. A.
- Well, you know, maybe your attorney can ask my client that question two weeks from now.
- Okay. I don't know if they are selling it. I don't even know if they are in business. I'm hearing all kinds of things in the trade about deceptive things that they are doing, so I don't know if they are there, they are not there, they are selling, they are not selling, I don't know.

6

10

11

13

14

19

20

21

23

25

2

3

4

7

8

11

13

14

17

18

22

23

24

25

1	Q. You heard something about
2	Interworks going out of business?

## A. I'm speculating. I'm wondering. I'm asking you.

Unfortunately, I can't give you Q. that answer.

But your attorney can ask my client a couple weeks from now, two, three weeks from now.

- Okay. I just don't wanna -- you are asking me if they are selling something, I don't even know if they are in business, so I'm trying to --
  - All I'm trying to get --Q.

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

5

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

- 15 How would I know what they are Α. 16 doing?
  - Did you hear from QVC that they are still selling to QVC?
  - It's not something that I discussed with QVC on a daily basis.
  - Okay. That's a good answer. The -- if you look at the second paragraph, a reference of insurance coverage was mentioned. Do you know why lack of insurance coverage was an important issue at

Page 42

these hoverboards supplied by Interworks?

- Doesn't the letter state that?
- 3 O. Yes, it does say that. I mean, I'm asking you -- well, let me try to ask another question.

When you had this letter written to Interworks, did Interworks promptly show you sufficient insurance coverage to alleviate your such concern?

- Are you saying -- are you asking me if we had insurance I would still go and pay money to hire a lawyer and write a letter that we didn't have insurance?
- No. The question is, after you sent this letter, after, did you or anybody else at Digital Gadgets receive satisfactory explanations from Interworks?
- I know that there was attempt to resolve the insurance issue by Interworks. I don't know if it was quote/unquote satisfactory, but I do know that there was certain actions taken as a result of this letter to mitigate what -- maybe what Interworks felt it needed to provide.
  - Subsequent to sending this

Page 44

the time when this letter was written?

- Why insurance is important? Α.
- Why lack of insurance coverage O. was an important issue?
- It's a requirement of doing business, and part of the product -- when QVC approves a product for sale, there are certain requirements. If insurance on that product is part of the approval, it becomes part of the -- you can't separate the insurance from the product. If QVC approves the product that has this cup with this holder with this lid, and you take off the lid, it's no longer the cup.

You follow what I'm saying?

- Yes, I follow. Q.
- So if the board doesn't have the insurance and it was approved with the insurance, then the lack of the insurance makes the product not what it was represented to be.

Okay.

23 The -- at the time, did you, I mean Digital Gadgets, have serious concern on lack of insurance coverage with respect to

coverage, if Interworks failed to provide one, right?

If it was provideable (sic) by us. It's not like you could just go out and like buying a pack of gum in the store, not like saying, okay, you don't have it, I'll do it, it's an intricate piece of equipment that many insurance companies will not insure.

you, meaning your company, to provide that

Do you personally involve in obtaining or procuring such insurance coverage

letter, did you realize that it was actually a non-issue?

- Α. No.
- Are you aware Digital Gadgets had to purchase insurance subsequent to sending this letter to Interworks?
- Am I aware that Digital Gadgets had to purchase -- if Interworks didn't solve the problem, then Digital Gadgets would have had to purchase insurance. I don't know the dates and times, but if we had to do something to mitigate damages, we would have done that based on our relationship with QVC.

Right, QVC would have required

5

7

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

Interworks Unlimited, Inc. vs. Digital Gadgets, LL#:921

**Deposition of Charles Tebele** 

	A. The number might be correct, but
:	there might be a reason for it, so what he is
	he stating is he got \$400,000 and there was a
	balance of 35k.

- Q. 35k he says here is not so much, so I don't want to chase you guys; do you remember what was the unit price for each hoverboard?
  - I don't know. Α.

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

- Was it about a-hundred-something Q. bucks?
- I really don't remember. If it was 35k outstanding, there would be a reason for the 35k to be outstanding.
  - Q. What would be the reason?
  - Α. There could be various reasons.
  - Q. I don't want you to speculate.
- 18 If you are asking me the reason 19 for this particular -- particular 35k --
- 20 I'm asking you that. I was asking you for that. 21
  - A. I don't have that reason. I could refresh my memory looking at documents, but off the top of my head, just as general business practice there are many reasons that

one company says that the amount owed is this, the other company says what we owe is this and there are mitigating reasons for the reconciling differences.

You could send a client a bill for \$13,600 for "X" amount of hours, and the client can come back and say well, I have record that you worked this many hours and pay you that, and then there is reconciling differences.

- Q. Well, one of the reasons, generally speaking, between merchants for mitigating or for disputing the invoices is defective products, right? 14 15
  - Could be one of the reasons. Α.
  - Was it -- was a defective product the reason that Digital Gadgets had not paid or owed a balance of 35,000?
- It could be one of the reasons. In a general sense QVC, due to the nature of 21 their business, returns products on a regular basis, so there could be a reserve against returns in transit or the reserve based on what the estimated returns would be, that could be.

Q. Okay.

Also it could be -- it could be based on approved discount by the vendor?

- A. Could be -- could be on a discount, could be an advertising program, could be.
  - Could be a lot of things? O.
- Could be a lot of things, but it doesn't look out of line that on \$400,000 worth of payments there might be \$35,000 worth of these things.
- Right, and the 400k for the first O. shipment, at the time only \$35,000 was owed which is less than ten percent?
  - Α. Right.
- But if you look at this e-mail and the subsequent e-mail, there's nothing mentioning about the reason for this balance of 35k?
- Α. Well, there is an e-mail trail below it, but it's not there. This is just a snippet of one e-mail.
  - Q. Okay. THE WITNESS: Can we take a one-minute break or two-minute

Page 56

break?

MR. SHU: Sure.

(Recess taken.)

MR. HSU: Next in order will be number 6.

(Tebele Exhibit 6, Document bearing Bates stamps Interworks 42 through Interworks 54, marked for identification.)

Number 6 consists of e-mails of twelve pages, a string of e-mails.

These document pages were Bates stamped from number 42 through 54. Let's start with number -- page number 1 or Interworks 42, bottom right of the page.

The top e-mail was composed and sent by Chris Mitchell to Sam, Gillian Yip, Eric Lu and have you cc'd on it, the subject was High Roller Model C Returns. The date of this e-mail was April 6, 2017. Chris Mitchell said "Hi, Sam, the goods are at the warehouse."

The goods that he referred to in this e-mail were Model C hoverboards?

MR. LAZARUS: That's a question?

1	Q. Where did this conversation take	1	what I guess the implication is we would need
2	place?	2	to have some complaint or some evidence to
3	A. With buyers, with quality	3	take action, and what I'm telling you is, as
4	assurance people, many different	4	soon as we discovered the problem and the
5	conversations.	5	problem rose to a level where it was serious,
6	Q. Do you recall when?	6	we stopped.
7	A. 110.	7	Q. And what did you do after you
8	Q. Bo you recan where:	8	stopped? You mentioned about a few things
9	A. At QvC.	9	that other than those things that you
10	2. That were you present.	10	mentioned, any other efforts that you had set
11	14. I was present.	11	in place to confront this issue?
12	Q. This do you recan do you	12	A. I don't know, but at some point
13	Treat who else was present at Q ve for that	13	it became a legal issue, and once it became a
14	issue.	14	legal issue it took on a life of its own and I
15	11. Our reps and buyers and sales	15	guess that's why we are sitting here.
16	<b>F</b>	16	Q. Well, QVC discovered this issue
17	Q. The year dote to recall the hames	17	and
18	or & ve s employees attending that meeting.	18	A. No. QVC told us that this is not
19		19	what we submitted. We submitted this and when
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20	we opened the box it was that. That's not
21	Q. To your knowledge, was there any	21	good. If I tell you I'm handing you a wallet
22	Q ve eastorners retained any or the noverocards	22	with \$10 in it, and you took it home and you
23	supplied by meet words because of the battery	23	got \$5 and somebody calls you and says I only
24		24	got \$5, not 10, the 10 that you promised me,
25	A. I don't know. I don't know what Page 78	25	that's a problem.
1	1	1	Q. Right.
2	reason easterners are for retains, I wouldn't	2	A. Right.
3		3	Q. Right.
4	1	4	And
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5	A. And if I go back and say the guy
6	, ·	6	who gave me all these \$10 wallets only put \$5
7	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	in them, I'm gonna start looking to see where
8		8	the problems lie.
9	The state of the s	9	Does that make sense to you?
10	1, 2	10	Q. When you receive indications or
11		11	reports that led you to believe that there
12	į į	12	the batteries supplied by Interworks were
13		13	defective, not matching with whatever they
14		14	submitted for sample testing by QVC, is that
15	, , ,	15	your statement (sic)?
16		16	A. Say that one more time.
17		17	Q. When you by the way, do you
18		18	know your company had to submit some samples
19	1	19	to QVC before you started selling the
20	T	20	hoverboards to QVC?
21	Q. I wouldn't know the definition of	21	A. That's not entirely accurate.
22		22	Q. Oh.
23	A. I don't think you need to answer	23	Which part was not entirely
24	it. So what you are asking me is, did we get	24	accurate?
25	,	25	A. All of it.
1	Page 79		Page 81

**Deposition of Charles Tebele** 

	ber works committee, the visi bigital Gaugets, Ele-	1	Deposition of Charles Tebele
1	Q. The question, very simple: Did	1	11. That's what it says.
2	you know Digital Gadgets had to submit samples	2	Q. Okay.
3	to QVC for testing and approval before you	3	Does it say that the by the
4	started selling them?	4	way, what is the products described on this
5	A. That's not true.	5	report?
6	Q. Not true?	6	A. (No response.)
7	A. No. In this case, because	7	Q. Description on top, you see Chic
8	Interworks purported to sell us the unit that	8	High Roller Self Balancing Hoverboard W? I
9	was already approved by QVC, QVC allowed us to	9	don't know what that "W" means. Do you know,
10	son to sussed on our reputation and touching	10	W slash
11	for the fact that it was the same model, so we	11	A. What are you asking me?
12	began to sell it without submitting a sample.	12	Q. I'm asking if you know what that
13	And only after five months later when we	13	means? I have no idea what that means. What
14	needed to submit a sample for a new program	14	the W at the end and slash, what does that
15	and new orders did we then submit them a	15	
16	sample, and then determined that what we were	16	11. I Tobabiy is truncated that
17	selling them all along was fraudulent.	17	there's some words after that, but it doesn't
18	Q. You are 100 percent sure about	18	pick up on the form.
19		19	Q. The reason I was asking you,
20	A. I'm sure of what I just said.	20	because I don't know what that means.
21	Q. Okay.	21	If you go through this report, it
22	That's very good answer. I'm	22	seems like the samples submitted by Interworks
23	13	23	to QVC passed the testing?
24	A. That doesn't mean that's 100	24	A. Yes.
25	percent of what occurred.	25	Q. Is there anything you see the
	Page 82		Page 84
1	Q. Well, that's based on your	1	second page of this exhibit, the Bates number
2	recollection that's what happened, right?	2	Interworks 213 in the midsection of the page
3	A. Yes.	3	where it says battery identification, four
4	Q. Okay.	4	battery packaging, four general electrical
5	MR. HSU: Let's mark this as 8.	5	requirement test, Interworks passed all of
6	(Tebele Exhibit 8, Document	6	those things, right?
7	bearing Bates stamps Interworks 212	7	A. I don't know what I mean you
8	through Interworks 221, marked for	8	are making a statement, I guess, yeah.
9	identification.)	9	Q. So you were saying that
10	Q. Exhibit 8 is a computer generated	10	Interworks I'm trying to understand what
11	form on top of the first page says QVC, QA	11	your claim is. One of your claims is that
12	sample, evaluation report?	12	Interworks fraudulently
13	A. Correct.	13	A. Interworks had got this board
14	Q. And have you ever seen this	14	approved.
15	entire report?	15	Q. Right.
16	A. I have seen this form. I don't	16	MR. LAZARUS: Referring to?
17	know if I've seen this report before, but I'm	17	A. Interworks 212.
18	familiar with the form.	18	Q. This is 8.
19	Q. And if you look at those days,	19	A. The item on this Exhibit Number 8
20	probably the seventh or eighth line from the	20	was approved for sale by QVC.
21	top, indicates that the sample evaluation due	21	Q. And QVC
22	date, pick due date, requested due date, look	22	A. And you want me to continue to
		1	
23	at those days, do those tell you that the	23	answer, make it easier?
	at those days, do those tell you that the samples were submitted to QVC by Interworks in	23	Answer, make it easier?  Q. Sure.
23	samples were submitted to QVC by Interworks in		

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

1	A. I don't know QVC had boards on
2	inventory. I don't know where you are making
3	that assumption from.

Q. Well, did you ask QVC how many boards QVC still has sitting in its warehouse?

A. Again, I don't know that that would be part of the general line of questioning. The way I understand it is we would ship the boards for QVC so they wouldn't have them in their warehouse.

Q. Now, yesterday, I don't know if you were here or not, did you hear that Ms. Gillian testified, Gillian Yip?

## A. Yes.

5

10

11

12

13

14

19

23

25

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. She testified yesterday that the -- your company would ordinarily ship hoverboards to QVC's warehouse and QVC's customers?

## A. That's correct.

Q. So when she was talking about QVC's customers, she's saying these end users, right?

# A. Right. The way I understand it, these boards were being shipped to end users.

Q. So there are boards that shipped

Page 90

1 liability insurance.

Before you came today, did you review all of the certificates of liability insurance provided by Interworks to your company?

## A. No.

Q. So you've never seen this document before?

## A. I'm not familiar with it. I may have seen it.

## Q. Okay.

Let's mark the next one and see if you have seen this one.

(Tebele Exhibit 10, Document bearing bates stamp Interworks 300, marked for identification.)

Q. If you look at this certificate of liability insurance dated July 13, 2017, have you ever seen this document before?

## A. I may have.

Q. But you are not sure about it?

A. I'm not sure that I have seen it before. I may have.

(Tebele Exhibit 11, Document bearing Bates stamp Interworks 301,

Page 92

directly to QVC's warehouse in New Jersey and being stored there?

## A. QVC doesn't have a warehouse in New Jersey.

Q. Or maybe she was saying some other warehouse located in New York?

# A. Let's cut to what you are trying to say. I don't believe that QVC had boards in their warehouse at the time of this.

Q. You don't believe there was any remaining inventory being stored at QVC's warehouse?

## A. For sale.

Q. What is the location of QVC's warehouse?

# A. They have warehouses all over the country, but they don't have one in New Jersey.

Q. Do they have any warehouse in New York?

## A. I don't believe so.

(Tebele Exhibit 9, Document bearing Bates stamp Interworks 299, marked for identification.)

HINES

Q. Number 9 is a certificate of

## marked for identification.)

Q. Number 11, have you ever seen this certificate of insurance?

## A. I may have.

Q. Let me go back to that QVC QA sample testing report, that was Exhibit 8.

Do you recall receiving a report from QVC stating that the battery that came with the hoverboard failed the testing and also on the report says that the battery that came with the samples do not match with what was previously submitted?

A. Again, let me take you through how it would be. We would get a failure, it would outline many areas of failure. Only through going back and forth and resubmitting and trying again and resubmitting and trying again, by process of elimination, finally get down to the fact that batteries didn't match.

It wouldn't be, hey, you submitted this, the battery didn't match. It would be submitted, it would be failed. It's like a game of ping pong, then we'd have to try to mitigate the failures, all the while not knowing, not even thinking in a million

3

4

7

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Interworks Unlimited, Inc. vs. Digital Gadgets, LL#:936

## **Deposition of Charles Tebele**

(Tebele Exhibit 16, Document	
bearing Bates stamp Digital gadgets 35	5,
marked for identification.)	
0 16 41	

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

19

20

21

25

16, there is a short e-mail on top from Eric Lu to Chris Mitchell in December, specifically on December 21, 2016.

It says "Chris, see my comments below in red. I'll give you a call shortly."

In response to Chris Mitchell's e-mail to Eric Lu dated the same day earlier 11 than that, well, yes, a little earlier than 12 3:04 a.m., was 9:26 a.m. in the morning, here by the way, have you received this e-mail from Chris Mitchell?

## A. Did I receive this e-mail from **Chris Mitchell?**

Q. Right. Did he subsequently forward it to you?

#### I don't remember. A.

And says -- Chris Mitchell says here "Eric" starting from the second paragraph "current order QVC isn't going to be able to resolve the lithium battery reissue until next month."

Q. And discuss our partnership further?

#### Α. Yep.

Q. So you did discuss, meaning your company, did discuss this exclusive agreement with Eric Lu?

#### Α. Yes.

At the trade show, and what was your recollection on Eric Lu's response or anything that he said in Las Vegas -- when you met Eric Lu, did he agree to give that exclusive deal to you guys?

There was no doubt that he agreed that as long as we had inventory in place that we remained the exclusive partner. We would never buy somebody else's goods for them to go sell them to the same customer behind our back. The discussion further was we were discussing other accounts to extend the exclusive to.

Did you also at Las Vegas discuss O. the payment terms such as consignment?

#### A. It's possible.

Q. But you don't remember sitting here?

Page 116

Are you aware of such issue existing in December of 2016?

That's a different issue. That's a different lithium battery issue than what we are talking about with the QA.

Q. Right.

And you say is a different issue?

It has nothing to do with the QA.

Okay. O.

And was this around the time when Chris Mitchell was negotiating with Eric on the price terms along with the other terms for the sale of the hoverboards?

## It appears to be.

15 And when Chris Mitchell Q. mentioned -- you see down below like one, two, three, four, fourth bullet point, if you look 18 at the second one?

#### Α. Yep.

Received exclusive agreement to supply chip listen board to QVC for 2017 and then Eric's comment is yes, we can put this agreement to you, but let's have our meetings and see it and that's the trade show, right?

A. Yes.

events and a lot of conversations. I don't know what conversation was at CES versus on

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 114

the phone, but it was a fluid situation. (Tebele Exhibit 17, Document bearing Bates stamp Digital Gadgets 80, marked for identification.)

Again, there was a sequence of

Q. Next one is 17. Have you ever seen this certificate of liability insurance?

#### Possibly. Α.

You see towards the bottom left underneath two words certificate, Digital Gadgets, LLC and that's your company, right?

## Α.

On top -- well, it's not very Q. top, it's like ninth or tenth or twelfth line from the top, you see under the insured Interworks Unlimited, Inc.?

## Α.

Was printed there, so the insured O. of this policy was Interworks?

## Α.

And your company was made as an O. additional insured?

#### Α. Yes.

Page 117

3

4

5

12

13

14

21

22

23

4

7

8

9

12

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

submitted this certificate to OVC around the time Digital Gadgets received this from 3 Interworks? 4

#### Α. What's the question again?

Do you know if Digital Gadgets ever submitted this certificate of liability insurance to OVC ever?

## I don't know.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3

6

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Tebele Exhibit 20, Document bearing Bates stamps Digital Gadgets 244 through Digital Gadgets 247, marked for identification.)

20 has a number of pages.

These documents were produced by Digital Gadgets.

If you see the second e-mail from the top on Digital Gadgets 244, this e-mail was sent by Chris Mitchell to Eric, presumably Eric Lu, and you were cc'd on it. Do you see that?

#### Α. Yep.

And Chris Mitchell first said "Eric understood about wanting the boards back. We were honoring the consignment backup agreement per our conversation. But if that's

Page 126

no longer an option for you, we can send back the remaining boards."

What is the consignment backup agreement Chris Mitchell was talking about here, if you know?

A. Basically, that there were, throughout the negotiations, like I said, it was fluid and what they agreed to was rather than shipping the boards back and forth between Interworks and Digital Gadgets and having them sit in one warehouse or another, since they were only for QVC that we would pay them based on when they were sold. So that's, I mean, call it a consignment agreement, but it's not a very technical term. Consignment agreement would basically mean to me we would pay for the goods per specific agreement as they were sold.

Q. Okay.

And then the -- see there's some bold printed lines or words starting from an arrow pointing to the left, "I have honored everything" that -- "everything I've said. I told you guys I would give you terms/consignment if you guys would get

approved from my factor."

Did Eric Lu write all of these responses in bold printed form?

## I don't know, looks like it.

And here he was complaining to you guys that if you had been approved by my vendor, I would have given this consignment backup agreement to you guys at the time this e-mail was sent to them, to Eric Lu, to your recollection, Interworks' factor never approved your company on this credit line of \$1 million, right?

I have no idea. It looks to be he shipped it, bought approval from his factor and maybe he was in trouble or something. I don't know what -- I don't know what -- I don't know what the inner workings between him and his factor are, but we don't have any obligation as Digital Gadgets to satisfy his factor. His factor relationship is between him and his factor.

If you look down below, there's -- it seems like there is a spreadsheet prepared by Chris Mitchell to Eric Lu. If you can help me go over the

Page 128

second page of the document, where you can see not this page, can you just briefly go to a second page?

#### A. Yes.

Second page on top to the right, O. you see the total received number is 10,608 units?

### A.

Is that consistent with your O. recollection of how many units of 11 hoverboards ---

I previously answered I'm not sure how many hoverboards were received, but if this e-mail states -- the e-mail stands on its own. The statement is what it is.

Okav.

But you mention about computer data and software. If you have to retrieve this information or spreadsheet from your computer you would be able to do that, right?

#### Α. Yes.

After you received these e-mails from Chris Mitchell and Eric Lu, did you remember -- did you remember you had a meeting or a couple of meetings with Chris Mitchell?

Page 129